

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,130	12/30/2003	Alessia Pavan	2110-99-3	3296
996	7590 12/05/2006		EXAMINER	
GRAYBEAL, JACKSON, HALEY LLP 155 - 108TH AVENUE NE			FARAHANI, DANA	
SUITE 350	A AVENUE NE		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
BELLEVUE	E, WA 98004-5901		2891	
			DATE MAILED: 12/05/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·····		RI				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/749,130	PAVAN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
·	Dana Farahani	2891					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DV. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the second will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the second ABANDON cause the application to become ABANDON	ON. imely filed m the mailing date of this communicat IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Se	eptember 2006.						
<i>,</i>	action is non-final.		•				
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-6,15-26 and 31-34</u> is/are pending in	the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			•				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,15-26 and 31-34</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,15-26 and 31-34</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		,	•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12/30/03</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority document							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ved in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list		/ad					
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	veu.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/749,130

Art Unit: 2891

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figures 1-5 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d).

The top two layers in figure 7 are not numbered. They should be numbered and identified in the specification as well, if they have not been identified in the specification with respect to that figure.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Baker et al., hereinafter Baker (US Patent 4,852,062) in view of Catabay et al., hereinafter Catabay (US Patent 6,800,940), both previously cited.

Regarding claims 1, 4, 5 and 6, Baker discloses in figure 11, a memory comprising:

a floating gate transistor including a source regions and drain regions, a gate region projecting from the substrate and comprised between said source and drain regions, said gate region having a predetermined length and width and comprising a first floating gate region 52 and a control gate region 54, characterized in that said floating gate region is insulated laterally, along a direction orthogonal to a plane including the floating gate, source and drain regions, by a dielectric layer 60, 64 with a dielectric constant value.

Baker does not disclose the dielectric constant value is between 1 and 3.9 (low dielectric), and the layer is formed by an oxide layer, hydrated with alkylic groups.

Catabay discloses in figure 4, and column 6, lines 23-37, a carbon doped silicon layer 30 in the integrated circuit structure shown. Catabay further discloses this kind of layer is void free and have a dielectric constant of less than 3 (see column 4, lines 35-40 and 52-55), further disclosing low dielectric constant values reduces horizontal capacitance between conductive lines (see column 2, lines 1-5). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use carbon doped oxide layer in the structure of Baker to benefit from the advantageous properties of the layer such as reduced capacitance between the gate electrodes. Note that using alkylic groups to dope the oxide layer is a method of doping the oxide layer.

Regarding claim 2, the floating gate regions are covered by a dielectric layer 58 before being insulated from each other through said dielectric layer with low dielectric constant value.

Regarding claim 3, the dielectric layer with low dielectric constant value is bounded between said floating gate regions, as can be seen in figure 11.

Regarding claims 15-26, Baker in view of Catabay substantially discloses the limitations in the claims, as discussed above, further disclosing the cells are organized in a matrix form, see figure 1A; a word line shown in the same figure; where adjacent cells are connected to the same word line (see fig. 1A). Thus, the control gate regions are electrically connected.

Regarding claims 31-34, dielectric regions 56 are in direct contact to the floating gates, and the motivation as to making them low dielectric is stated above. Also, a second dielectric 66 is formed on the first dielectric region.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered and are addressed above.

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dana Farahani whose telephone number is (571)272-1706. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bill Baumeister can be reached on (571)272-1722. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2891

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DF

Chandra Chaudhari Primary Examiner